

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/628,123 07/28/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3082			
		Rodney L. Blair	BLAIR				
759	90 12/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER			
ROBERT M. SPERRY, ESQ.			WINNER, TONY H				
23390 OSTRON WOODLAND I	HILLS, CA 91367		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	•		3611	·			

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/628,123		BLAIR, RODNEY L.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Tony H. Winner	1	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS CO (a). In no event, hower apply and will expire Sause the application to	MMUNICATION wer, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>9/13/05</i>	5.					
2a)□			- iction is non-fina	I.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 450	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 7 and 11 is/are objected to).						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requirer	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а) 🗌 ассер	oted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exar	miner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:		•		(d) or (f).			
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies					Stogo		
	application from the Internation		•		in uns Nauonai	Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	,	•	• •	I .			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or				o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/628,123 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

Election

1. Applicants elect species III with traverse is acknowledged. The office found the ground of traverse to be persuasive, therefore, the restriction is withdrawn and all claims will be examined.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is a typo on page 1 line 14 of the specification where a "USPN 5,660,848" should be USPN 5,660,858 --.
- 4. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 12 the word gravity is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-10, 12-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hume (USPN. 6,752,231 B2) in view of Flagg (USPN. 4,298,197).

Hume discloses a personal transportation system comprising:

- a. a pair of large wheels mounted on a common axis,
- b. a motor carried by the wheels and including means for independently driving each of said wheels, said motor includes manual control, and
- c. a load carrying compartment mounted so that its center of gravity is below said axis.

Hume lacks the teaching that the wheels are inflatable tubes. Flagg teaches a rotating recreational device wherein the wheels are large inflatable tubes so as to provide the device with a means to maneuver over a variety of terrain, including water. Based on the teaching of Flagg, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle of Hume to include the of inflatable tubes of Flagg so as to provide the device with a means to maneuver over a variety of terrain, including water.

With respect to claims 2, 9-10, 13-15 and 17 Hume as modified by Flagg discloses all of the claimed limitations.

With respect to claim 3-6, Hume as modified by Flagg discloses the claimed invention but lacks the teaching of any specific spokes structure/design. However, applicant acknowledged that the spokes structure/design is an obvious alternative. Therefore, it would have been an obvious alternative/design choice to make the spokes of metal, wire, or inflatable tubes.

6. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hume as modified by Flagg and further in view of Quigg (USPN. 6,857,490 B2).

Hume as modified by Flagg is disclosed above but lacks the teaching of a means for remotely controlling the vehicle.

Quigg discloses a stair-climbing wheelchair wherein the wheelchair includes a remote control so as to provide a wide range of usefulness for the wheelchair such as unmanned device used to traverse obstacles or in mine field cleaning operation. Based on the teaching of Quigg, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle of Hume as modified by Flagg to include the remote controller of Quigg so as to provide a wide range of usefulness for the wheelchair such as unmanned device used to traverse obstacles or in mine field cleaning operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,123 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (571) 272-6654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is (571) 273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information-Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6584.

TÓNY WINNER PATENT EXAMINER

November 21, 2005